

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/683,900	02/28/2002	Gerald Burt Kliman	RD-28364	9256	
6147	7590 12/29/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ELECTRIC COMPANY SEARCH CENTER		WAKS, J	OSEPH	
	CKET RM. 4A59		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PO BOX 8, BI NISKAYUNA	LDG. K-1 ROSS NY 12309		2834		
	,		DATE MAIL ED. 12/20/2003	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·			
Office Action Commence	09/683,900	KLIMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MANUAL DATE of the	Joseph Waks	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	;			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortically Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, many within the statutory minimum of the poly and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun	ication.			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 October 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 16-19,40 and 42-44 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-19,40 and 42-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	a)⊠ accepted or b)[drawing(s) be held in abe on is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arminer. Note the attac	ned Office Action of John P 10-13	۷.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provided the priority of the foreign language provided in the first sentence of the priority of of t	have been received. have been received ity documents have be (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies its priority under 35 U.S. sentence of the spectation has priority under 35 U.S.	n Application No ten received in this National Stage not received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli ification or in an Application Data s been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a spe	cation) Sheet.			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 100	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 09/683,900 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Satomi et al. (JP 07336992 A).

Satomi et al. disclose in Figures 1, 2, and 6-8 stator windings W1-W10 comprising a wound shape designed to provide space for a desired tooth tip shape, a laminated stator yoke 34 situated around the stator windings, wherein laminations forming the laminated stator yoke comprise the yoke and teeth 33 extending therefrom, molded composite tooth tips 31 between respective windings and in contact with the teeth of the laminated stator yoke and the key notches 35.

3. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rosenberry (US 4,392,072).

Rosenberry discloses a machine stator having stator windings13, 13A, 13B around respective stator teeth 3-6 and a stator yoke 2B radially surrounding and coupled to the stator teeth, wherein the stator yoke is a composite stator yoke (Re column 3, lines 24-27)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Satomi et al. (JP 07336992 A) in view of Bansai et al. (US 4,994,700).

Satomi et al. disclose the stator essentially as claimed. However, Satomi et al. do not disclose corrugated insulation around at least portions of the windings.

Bansai et al. disclose in Figure 4 a corrugated slot liner 34' surrounding windings 30 for the purpose of biasing the coil against movement in the slot.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the stator as taught by **Satomi et al.** and to provide corrugated liner around at least portions of the windings as taught by **Bansai et al.** for the purpose of biasing the coil against movement in the slot. It would have been further obvious to make the liner with electrically insulating properties to prevent electric leaks from the windings to the magnetic yoke, since slot liners of electrically insulating material are well known in the art of electric machines (Re Rosen berry's Figure 3, element 14B for example).

6. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryder et al. (US 2,607,816) in view of Baronosky et al. (US 5,866,965).

Ryder et al. disclose a machine stator comprising windings 28 around laminated stator teeth 12 and the stator yoke 14, the windings include the wider winding portion closer to the yoke than the narrower portion. However, Ryder et al. do not disclose the stator windings comprising a flat wound stator winding.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,900

Art Unit: 2834

Baronosky et al. disclose the machine stator including the flat wound stator winding 15 for the purpose of maximizing the winding density, thus maximizing the strength of the magnetic field generated by the stator.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the stator as taught by **Ryder et al.** and to provide the stator windings comprising a flat wound stator winding as taught by **Baronosky et al.** for the purpose of maximizing the winding density, thus maximizing the strength of the magnetic field generated by the stator.

7. Claims 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberry (US 4,392,072) in view of Ichiyama et al. (US 4,613,842).

Rosenberry discloses the stator essentially as claimed. However, Rosenberry does not disclose the stator yoke comprises a material having azimuthally oriented grain, and/or the stator teeth comprise material having radially oriented grain.

Ichiyama et al. disclose in Figures 8B-8D the stator yoke 30a comprises a material having an azimuthally oriented grain, and/or the stator teeth 30b comprise material having a radially oriented grain for the purpose of reducing the watt loss of both the yoke and the teeth regions.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the stator as taught by **Rosenberry** and to provide the stator yoke comprises a material having azimuthally oriented grain, and/or the stator teeth comprise material having radially oriented grain as taught by **Ichiyama et al.** for the purpose of reducing the watt loss of both the yoke and the teeth regions.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed May 23, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. In this particular case weather the windings are wound around the teeth before or after adding the tips does not change the structure of the winding having shape designed to provide space for a desired tooth tip shape i.e. tooth tip exceeding the length of the stator core. Therefore the stator structure disclosed in Figures 6-8 fully meet the limitations as claimed.

Regarding claim 40 examiner directs applicants attention to column 5, lines 33-38 where Rosenbarry discloses that

In addition, in alternative forms of the invention, the yoke portion (2) of a stator, similar to the one shown in FIG. 2, can be formed by molding an annulus of suitably compacted amorphous metal flakes and binding resin, rather than using a wound ribbon of such metal to form the yoke. Subsequently, either molded teeth, such

Prior Art

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Conclusion

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2834

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph Waks whose telephone number is (703) 308-1676. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nestor R Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

JOSEPH WARS

/ TC-2800

JW

December 23, 2003